JS 44 (Rev. 10/20)

Case 2:21-cv-04673 (MS/GLL Pochypent SHF) ed 10/25/21 Page 1 of 19

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS							
Ray Newman				Dale Redmond, et al.,						
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Montgomery County (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)			tv	County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.						
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Simon & Simon, PC 1818 Market St., Suite 2000 Philadelphia, PA 19103				Attorneys (If Known)						
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in C	One Box Only)	III. CI	FIZENSHIP OF 1	PRIN	NCIPA	L PARTIES	Place an "X" in	One Box fo	or Plaintiff
1 U.S. Government 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)				PTF 1	DEF	Incorporated <i>or</i> Pri of Business In T		Defendant) PTF 4	DEF	
2 U.S. Government Defendant	x 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship	o of Parties in Item III)			2	x 2	Incorporated and P of Business In A		<u></u>	x 5
				n or Subject of a reign Country	3	3	Foreign Nation		∐ 6	<u></u> 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT			_		Cli		for: Nature of S			
CONTRACT	TOF			F. D. D. D. D. Letter I. S. L. L.			KRUPTCY		STATUT	
110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability x 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 448 Education	PERSONAL INJURY 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERI 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITION Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Othe 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	74 75 79 446	LABOR 0 Fair Labor Standards Act 0 Labor/Management Relations 0 Railway Labor Act 1 Family and Medical Leave Act 0 Other Labor Litigation 1 Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION 2 Naturalization Applicati 5 Other Immigration Actions		423 With 28 U PROPER 820 Cop. 830 Pate 830 Pate 840 Trad 880 Defe Act SOCIA 861 HIA 862 Blac 863 DIW 864 SSII 865 RSI FEDER 870 Taxc or I 871 IRS-	ETY RIGHTS yrights int int - Abbreviated in Drug Application lemark and Trade Secrets of 2016 L SECURITY (1395ff) k Lung (923) in Color (1925) in Title XVI	480 Consur (15 US 485 Teleph Protec 490 Cable/S 850 Securit Exchar 890 Other S 891 Agricu 895 Freedo 895 Freedo 896 Arbitra 899 Admin Act/Re	m (31 US(2)) Leapportion ist and Banki erce ation eer Influer to Organiza mer Credit SC 1681 of one Constitution Act Sat TV ies/Comminge Statutory Altural Acts in the Influence of the Influence of the Influence of Altural Acts in	nnment nng nneed and attions tr 1692) nmer nodities/ Actions s Matters mation rrocedure ppeal of
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in	1 C 2 D	1.16	- 4 D :	1 — 5 T	c :		— (M 1: 1: 4 :	0	M. L. F.	
1"1 0 1 1	te Court A	Lemanded from pppellate Court	4 Reins Reop	ened Anoth	ner Di i <i>fy)</i>	strict	6 Multidistri Litigation Transfer		Multidis Litigation Direct I	on -
VI CALICE OF ACTIO	Cite the U.S. Civil Stat 28 U.S.C. § 1391(a) (1)		e filing (L	Oo not cite jurisdictional s	tatutes	unless di	versity):			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	Brief description of cau Motor Vehicle Accident	ise:								
VII. REQUESTED IN CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION DEMAND \$ CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. JURY DEMAND: ▼Yes No										
VIII. RELATED CASH IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE				_DOCKI	ET NUMBER			
DATE SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD 10/25/2021 Marc Simon										
10/25/2021 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		Mar	c c	mon						
	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE			MAG. JUD	OGE		

Case 2:21-cv-04673-ly/Norded Spockers district Fideoly/20/25/21 Page 2 of 19 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

DESIGNATION FORM

(to be used by counsel or pro se plaintiff to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to the appropriate calendar)

Address of Plaintiff: Ray Nev	wman - 2215 Trotter Ln., Abii	ngton, PA 19001				
Address of Defendant: Dale-201 N State St Muncie, IN 47303, Total Package-5871 Cheviot Rd Ste1 Cincinnati OH 45247, R&H-6140 Industrial Ave N Connersville, IN 47331 Address of Defendant:						
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: intersection of Route 202 & Bay Colony Rd., in Chester Township, PA.						
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:						
Case Number: Ju	udge:	Date Terminated:				
Civil cases are deemed related when Yes is answered to a	any of the following questions:					
 Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? 						
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit yes No pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court?						
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action of this court?						
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights Yes No Verification of the same individual?						
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is / is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court except as noted above.						
DATE: 10/25/2021	Mars Sign Simon	201798				
	Attorney-at-Law / Pro Se Plaintiff	Attorney I.D. # (if applicable)				
CIVIL: (Place a √ in one category only)						
cz. zz. (z mee u , m one categor j omij)						
A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Co	ases:				
	Other Contracts 1. Insurance Contracts 2. Airplane Persona 3. Assault, Defamate 4. Marine Personal 5. Motor Vehicle Personal In 7. Products Liabilit 8. Products Liabilit 9. All other Diversit (Please specify):	act and Other Contracts al Injury tion Injury tersonal Injury njury (Please specify):				
A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Contract	Other Contracts 1. Insurance Contracts 2. Airplane Persona 3. Assault, Defamar 4. Marine Personal 5. Motor Vehicle Pr 6. Other Personal Ir 7. Products Liabilit 8. Products Liabilit 9. All other Diversi (Please specify):	act and Other Contracts al Injury tion Injury tersonal Injury njury (Please specify): y y - Asbestos tty Cases				
A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Contract	Other Contracts 1. Insurance Contracts 2. Airplane Persona 3. Assault, Defamar 4. Marine Personal 5. Motor Vehicle Pr 6. Other Personal Ir 7. Products Liabilit 8. Products Liabilit 9. All other Diversi (Please specify):	act and Other Contracts al Injury tion Injury tersonal Injury njury (Please specify): y y - Asbestos tty Cases				
A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Contract	Other Contracts 1. Insurance Contracts 2. Airplane Personal 3. Assault, Defaman 4. Marine Personal 5. Motor Vehicle Personal In The Personal	act and Other Contracts al Injury tion Injury tersonal Injury njury (Please specify): y y — Asbestos ity Cases				
A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Contract	Other Contracts 1. Insurance Contracts 2. Airplane Personal 3. Assault, Defaman 4. Marine Personal 5. Motor Vehicle Personal In The Personal	act and Other Contracts al Injury tion Injury tersonal Injury njury (Please specify): y y — Asbestos ity Cases				
A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Contract	Other Contracts 1. Insurance Contracts 2. Airplane Personal 3. Assault, Defaman 4. Marine Personal 5. Motor Vehicle Personal In The Personal	act and Other Contracts al Injury tion Injury tersonal Injury njury (Please specify): y y — Asbestos ity Cases				

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

Telephone	FAX Number	E-Mail Address				
215-467-4666	267-639-9006	MarcSimon@gosimon.co	om			
Date	Attorney-at-law	Attorney for				
10/22/2021	Marc Simon	Ray Newman				
(f) Standard Management –	Cases that do not fall into any	one of the other tracks.	(X)			
commonly referred to as	ases that do not fall into tracks complex and that need special de of this form for a detailed e	or intense management by	()			
(d) Asbestos – Cases involving claims for personal injury or property damage from exposure to asbestos. (
(c) Arbitration – Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2. (
b) Social Security – Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits. (
a) Habeas Corpus – Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 through § 2255.						
SELECT ONE OF THE FO	DLLOWING CASE MANAG	EMENT TRACKS:				
In accordance with the Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan of this court, counsel for plaintiff shall complete a Case Management Track Designation Form in all civil cases at the time of filing the complaint and serve a copy on all defendants. (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the revers side of this form.) In the event that a defendant does not agree with the plaintiff regarding said designation, that defendant shall, with its first appearance, submit to the clerk of court and serve of the plaintiff and all other parties, a Case Management Track Designation Form specifying the track to which that defendant believes the case should be assigned.						
Dale Redmond, et al.,	:	NO.				
V.	: :					
Ray Newman	:	CIVIL ACTION				

(Civ. 660) 10/02

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

	:	
	:	
	:	#
Plaintiff	:	
	:	
	:	
	:	
	:	
	:	
And	:	
	:	
	:	
	:	
And	:	
	:	
	:	
	:	
Defendant(s)	:	
	And	: : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :

COMPLAINT

PARTIES

- 1. Plaintiff, Ray Newman, is a resident of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, residing at the address listed in the caption of this Complaint.
- 2. Upon information and belief, Defendant, Dale Redmond, is a resident of the State of Indiana, residing at the address listed in the caption of this Complaint.
- 3. Defendant, Total Package Express, Inc., is a corporate entity authorized to conduct business in the State of Ohio, with a business address listed in the caption of this Complaint.
- 4. Defendant, R&H Trucking, LLC is a corporate entity authorized to conduct business in the State of Indiana, with a business address listed in the caption of this Complaint.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 5. This Court has jurisdiction over the parties and subject matter of this Civil Action-Complaint in that the Plaintiff, Ray Newman, is a citizen of Pennsylvania, the Defendant, Dale Redmond, is a citizen of Indiana, the Defendant, Total Package Express, Inc., upon information and belief is a corporate entity with its principal place of business in Ohio and the Defendant, R&H Trucking, LLC, upon information and belief is a corporate entity with its principal place of business in Indiana and the amount in controversy in this case, exclusive of interest and costs, exceeds the sum of \$75,000.
- 6. Venue is proper in this jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(a) (1) and (2) in that this is a judicial district in which a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims asserted in this Complaint occurred in this judicial district.

FACTS

- 7. On or about December 22, 2020, at or about 9:30 p.m., Plaintiff, Ray Newman, was the operator of a motor vehicle, which was traveling at or near the intersection of Route 202 & Bay Colony Rd., in Chester Township, PA.
- 8. At or about the same date and time, Defendant, Dale Redmond, was the operator of a motor vehicle, owned by Defendants, Total Package Express, Inc. and R&H Trucking, LLC, which was traveling at or around the aforementioned location of the Plaintiff's vehicle.
- 9. At or about the same date and time, Defendants' vehicle was involved in a collision with Plaintiff's vehicle.
- 10. At all times relevant hereto, Defendant, Dale Redmond, was operating the aforesaid Defendants, Total Package Express, Inc. and R&H Trucking, LLC's, vehicle as an agent, servant and/or employee, acting within the scope of it's agency.
- 11. The aforesaid motor vehicle collision was the result of Defendant, negligently, and/or carelessly, operating his/her vehicle in such a manner so as to rear-end Plaintiff's vehicle.
- 12. The aforesaid motor vehicle collision was a direct result of the negligence, and/or carelessness of the Defendants and not the result of any action or failure to act by the Plaintiff.
- 13. As a result of the collision, Plaintiff suffered severe and permanent injuries, including to the back, neck, middle of back and left side of back, as are more fully set forth below.

COUNT I Ray Newman v. Dale Redmond Negligence

14. Plaintiff incorporates the foregoing paragraphs of this Complaint as if set forth fully at length herein.

- 15. The negligence, and/or carelessness of the Defendant, which was the direct cause of the aforesaid motor vehicle collision and the resultant injuries sustained by the Plaintiff, consisted of but are not limited to the following:
 - a. Rear-ending Plaintiff's vehicle after Plaintiff's vehicle malfunctioned,
 causing the vehicle to stop, causing the plaintiff to activate the hazard lights;
 - b. Operating his/her vehicle into Plaintiff's lane of travel;
 - c. Failing to maintain proper distance between vehicles;
 - d. Operating said vehicle in a negligent, and/or careless manner so as to rearend Plaintiff's vehicle without regard for the rights or safety of Plaintiffs or others;
 - e. Failing to have said vehicle under proper and adequate control;
 - f. Operating said vehicle at a dangerous and excessive rate of speed under the circumstances;
 - g. Violation of the assured clear distance rule;
 - h. Failure to keep a proper lookout;
 - Failure to apply brakes earlier to stop the vehicle without rear-ending the Plaintiff's vehicle;
 - j. Being inattentive to his/her duties as an operator of a motor vehicle;
 - k. Disregarding traffic lanes, patterns, and other devices;
 - Driving at a high rate of speed which was high and dangerous for conditions;
 - m. Failing to remain continually alert while operating said vehicle;

- r. Failing to perceive the highly apparent danger to others which the actions and/or inactions posed;
- Failing to give Plaintiffs meaningful warning signs concerning the impending collision;
- p. Failing to exercise ordinary care to avoid a collision;
- q. Failing to be highly vigilant and maintain sufficient control of said vehicle and to bring it to a stop on the shortest possible notice;
- r. Operating said vehicle with disregard for the rights of Plaintiff, even though he/she was aware or should have been aware of the presence of Plaintiff and the threat of harm posed to him/her;
- s. Continuing to operate the vehicle in a direction towards the Plaintiff's vehicle when he/she saw, or in the exercise of reasonable diligence, should have seen, that further operation in that direction would result in a collision;
- t. Failing to operate said vehicle in compliance with the applicable laws and ordinances of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, pertaining to the operation and control of motor vehicles; and
- u. Being otherwise careless and/or negligent under the circumstances.
- 16. As a direct and consequential result of the negligent, and/or careless conduct of the Defendant, described above, the Plaintiff suffered various serious and permanent personal injuries, serious impairment of bodily function and/or permanent serious disfigurement and/or aggravation of pre-existing conditions, including to the back, neck, middle of back and left side of back, all to Plaintiff's great loss and detriment.

- 17. As a result of these injuries, all of which are permanent in nature and all of which are to Plaintiff's great financial detriment and loss, Plaintiff has in the past, is presently and may in the future suffer great anguish, sickness and agony and will continue to suffer for an indefinite time into the future.
- 18. As an additional result of the carelessness and/or negligence of Defendant,
 Plaintiff has suffered emotional injuries, along with the physical injuries suffered.
- 19. As a further result of Plaintiff's injuries, he/she has in the past, is presently and may in the future undergo a great loss of earnings and/or earning capacity, all to Plaintiff's further loss and detriment.
- 20. As a direct result of the negligent, and/or careless conduct of the Defendant, Plaintiff suffered damage to his personal property, including his/her motor vehicle, which Plaintiff was operating at the time of the aforesaid motor vehicle collision; including but not limited to, storage fees and towing, all to Plaintiff's great loss and detriment.
- 21. Furthermore, in addition to all the injuries and losses suffered by Plaintiff, Plaintiff has also incurred or will incur medical, rehabilitative and other related expenses in an amount equal to and/or in excess of the basic personal injury protection benefits required by the Pennsylvania Vehicle Financial Responsibility Law, 75 Pa.C.S. Section 1701, et. Seq., as amended, for which he/she makes a claim for payment in the present action.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Ray Newman, prays for judgment in plaintiffs' favor and against Defendant, Dale Redmond, in an amount in excess of Seventy-Five Thousand (\$75,000.00) Dollars, plus all costs and other relief this court deems necessary.

COUNT II Ray Newman v. Total Package Express, Inc. Negligent Entrustment

- 22. Plaintiff incorporates the foregoing paragraphs of this Complaint as if set forth fully at length herein.
- 23. The negligence, and/or carelessness of the Defendant, which was the proximate cause of the aforesaid motor vehicle collision and the resultant injuries sustained by the Plaintiff, consisted of but are not limited to the following:
 - a. Permitting Defendant, Dale Redmond, to operate the motor vehicle without first ascertaining whether or not he was capable of properly operating said vehicle;
 - b. Permitting Defendant, Dale Redmond, to operate the motor vehicle when Defendant, Total Package Express, Inc.., knew, or in the exercise of due care and diligence, should have known that Defendant, Dale Redmond, was capable of committing the acts of negligence set forth above;
 - c. Failing to warn those persons, including the Plaintiff, that Defendant, Total
 Package Express, Inc., knew, or in the existence of due care and diligence
 should have known, that the Plaintiff would be exposed to Defendant, Dale
 Redmond's negligent operation of the motor vehicle; and
 - d. Otherwise negligently entrusting said vehicle to said individual Defendant,
 Dale Redmond.
- 24. As a direct and consequential result of the negligent, and/or careless conduct of the defendant, described above, the Plaintiff suffered various serious and permanent personal injuries, serious impairment of bodily function and/or permanent serious disfigurement and/or

aggravation of pre-existing conditions, including to the back, neck, middle of back and left side of back, all to Plaintiff's great loss and detriment.

- 25. As a result of these injuries, all of which are permanent in nature and all of which are to Plaintiff's great financial detriment and loss, Plaintiff has in the past, is presently and may in the future suffer great anguish, sickness and agony and will continue to suffer for an indefinite time into the future.
- 26. As an additional result of the carelessness, and/or negligence of Defendant, Plaintiff has suffered emotional injuries, along with the physical injuries suffered.
- As a further result of Plaintiff's injuries, he/she has in the past, is presently and may in the future undergo a great loss of earnings and/or earning capacity, all to Plaintiff's further loss and detriment.
- 28. Furthermore, in addition to all the injuries and losses suffered by Plaintiff, Plaintiff has also incurred or will incur medical, rehabilitative and other related expenses in an amount equal to and/or in excess of the basic personal injury protection benefits required by the Pennsylvania Vehicle Financial Responsibility Law, 75 Pa.C.S. Section 1701, et. Seq., as amended, for which he/she makes a claim for payment in the present action.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Ray Newman, prays for judgment in plaintiff's favor and against Defendant, Total Package Express, Inc., in an amount in excess of Seventy-Five Thousand (\$75,000.00) Dollars, plus all costs and other relief this court deems necessary.

COUNT III Ray Newman v. Total Package Express, Inc. Respondent Superior

29. Plaintiff incorporates the foregoing paragraphs of this Complaint as if set forth fully at length herein.

- 30. The negligence, and/or carelessness of the Defendant, Total Package Express, Inc., itself and by and through its agent, servant and/or employee, Defendant, Dale Redmond, acting at all times relevant hereto within the scope of it's agency, which was the direct and proximate cause of the aforesaid motor vehicle collision and the resultant injuries sustained by the plaintiffs, consisted of but are not limited to the following:
 - a. Rear-ending Plaintiff's vehicle after Plaintiff's vehicle malfunctioned causing the vehicle to stop, causing the plaintiff to activate the hazard lights;
 - b. Operating his/her vehicle into Plaintiff's lane of travel;
 - c. Failing to maintain proper distance between vehicles;
 - d. Operating said vehicle in a negligent, and/or careless manner so as to rearend Plaintiff's vehicle, without regard for the rights or safety of Plaintiffs or others;
 - e. Failing to have said vehicle under proper and adequate control;
 - f. Operating said vehicle at a dangerous and excessive rate of speed under the circumstances;
 - g. Violation of the assured clear distance rule;
 - h. Failure to keep a proper lookout;
 - Failure to apply brakes earlier to stop the vehicle without rear-ending the Plaintiff's vehicle;
 - j. Being inattentive to his/her duties as an operator of a motor vehicle;
 - k. Disregarding traffic lanes, patterns, and other devices;
 - Driving at a high rate of speed which was high and dangerous for conditions;

- m. Failing to remain continually alert while operating said vehicle;
- r. Failing to perceive the highly apparent danger to others which the actions and/or inactions posed;
- o. Failing to give Plaintiffs meaningful warning signs concerning the impending collision;
- p. Failing to exercise ordinary care to avoid a rear-end collision;
- q. Failing to be highly vigilant and maintain sufficient control of said vehicle and to bring it to a stop on the shortest possible notice;
- r. Operating said vehicle with disregard for the rights of Plaintiff, even though he/she was aware or should have been aware of the presence of Plaintiff and the threat of harm posed to him/her;
- s. Continuing to operate the vehicle in a direction towards the Plaintiff's vehicle when he/she saw, or in the exercise of reasonable diligence, should have seen, that further operation in that direction would result in a collision;
- t. Failing to operate said vehicle in compliance with the applicable laws and ordinances of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, pertaining to the operation and control of motor vehicles; and
- u. Being otherwise careless and/or negligent under the circumstances.
- 31. As a direct and consequential result of the negligent, and/or careless conduct of the Defendant, described above, the Plaintiff suffered various serious and permanent personal injuries, serious impairment of bodily function and/or permanent serious disfigurement and/or aggravation of pre-existing conditions, including to the back, neck, middle of back and left side of back, all to Plaintiff's great loss and detriment.

- 32. As a result of these injuries, all of which are permanent in nature and all of which are to Plaintiff's great financial detriment and loss, Plaintiff has in the past, is presently and may in the future suffer great anguish, sickness and agony and will continue to suffer for an indefinite time into the future.
- 33. As an additional result of the carelessness, and/or negligence of Defendant, Plaintiff has suffered emotional injuries, along with the physical injuries suffered.
- 34. As a further result of Plaintiff's injuries, he/she has in the past, is presently and may in the future undergo a great loss of earnings and/or earning capacity, all to Plaintiff's further loss and detriment.
- 35. As a direct result of the negligent, and/or careless conduct of the Defendant, plaintiff suffered damage to his personal property, including his/her motor vehicle, which Plaintiff was operating at the time of the aforesaid motor vehicle collision; including but not limited to, storage fees and towing, all to Plaintiff's great loss and detriment.
- 36. Furthermore, in addition to all the injuries and losses suffered by Plaintiff, Plaintiff has also incurred or will incur medical, rehabilitative and other related expenses in an amount equal to and/or in excess of the basic personal injury protection benefits required by the Pennsylvania Vehicle Financial Responsibility Law, 75 Pa.C.S. Section 1701, et. Seq., as amended, for which he/she makes a claim for payment in the present action.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Ray Newman, prays for judgment in Plaintiffs' favor and against Defendant, Total Package Express, Inc., in an amount in excess of Seventy-Five Thousand (\$75,000.00) Dollars, plus all costs and other relief this court deems necessary.

COUNT IV Ray Newman v. R&H Trucking, LLC Negligent Entrustment

- 37. Plaintiff incorporates the foregoing paragraphs of this Complaint as if set forth fully at length herein.
- 38. The negligence, and/or carelessness of the Defendant, which was the proximate cause of the aforesaid motor vehicle collision and the resultant injuries sustained by the Plaintiff, consisted of but are not limited to the following:
 - a. Permitting Defendant, Dale Redmond, to operate the motor vehicle without first ascertaining whether or not he was capable of properly operating said vehicle;
 - b. Permitting Defendant, Dale Redmond, to operate the motor vehicle when Defendant, R&H Trucking, LLC., knew, or in the exercise of due care and diligence, should have known that Defendant, Dale Redmond, was capable of committing the acts of negligence set forth above;
 - c. Failing to warn those persons, including the Plaintiff, that Defendant, R&H

 Trucking, LLC, knew, or in the existence of due care and diligence should

 have known, that the Plaintiff would be exposed to Defendant, Dale

 Redmond's negligent operation of the motor vehicle; and
 - d. Otherwise negligently entrusting said vehicle to said individual Defendant,
 Dale Redmond.
- 39. As a direct and consequential result of the negligent, and/or careless conduct of the defendant, described above, the Plaintiff suffered various serious and permanent personal injuries, serious impairment of bodily function and/or permanent serious disfigurement and/or

aggravation of pre-existing conditions, including to the back, neck, middle of back and left side of back, all to Plaintiff's great loss and detriment.

- 40. As a result of these injuries, all of which are permanent in nature and all of which are to Plaintiff's great financial detriment and loss, Plaintiff has in the past, is presently and may in the future suffer great anguish, sickness and agony and will continue to suffer for an indefinite time into the future.
- 41. As an additional result of the carelessness, and/or negligence of Defendant, Plaintiff has suffered emotional injuries, along with the physical injuries suffered.
- 42. As a further result of Plaintiff's injuries, he/she has in the past, is presently and may in the future undergo a great loss of earnings and/or earning capacity, all to Plaintiff's further loss and detriment.
- 43. Furthermore, in addition to all the injuries and losses suffered by Plaintiff, Plaintiff has also incurred or will incur medical, rehabilitative and other related expenses in an amount equal to and/or in excess of the basic personal injury protection benefits required by the Pennsylvania Vehicle Financial Responsibility Law, 75 Pa.C.S. Section 1701, et. Seq., as amended, for which he/she makes a claim for payment in the present action.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Ray Newman, prays for judgment in plaintiff's favor and against Defendant, R&H Trucking, LLC, in an amount in excess of Seventy-Five Thousand (\$75,000.00) Dollars, plus all costs and other relief this court deems necessary.

COUNT V Ray Newman v. R&H Trucking, LLC Respondent Superior

44. Plaintiff incorporates the foregoing paragraphs of this Complaint as if set forth fully at length herein.

- 45. The negligence, and/or carelessness of the Defendant, R&H Trucking, LLC, itself and by and through its agent, servant and/or employee, Defendant, Dale Redmond, acting at all times relevant hereto within the scope of it's agency, which was the direct and proximate cause of the aforesaid motor vehicle collision and the resultant injuries sustained by the plaintiffs, consisted of but are not limited to the following:
 - a. Rear-ending Plaintiff's vehicle after Plaintiff's vehicle malfunctioned causing the vehicle to stop, causing the plaintiff to activate the hazard lights;
 - b. Operating his/her vehicle into Plaintiff's lane of travel;
 - c. Failing to maintain proper distance between vehicles;
 - d. Operating said vehicle in a negligent, and/or careless manner so as to rearend Plaintiff's vehicle, without regard for the rights or safety of Plaintiffs or others;
 - e. Failing to have said vehicle under proper and adequate control;
 - f. Operating said vehicle at a dangerous and excessive rate of speed under the circumstances;
 - g. Violation of the assured clear distance rule;
 - h. Failure to keep a proper lookout;
 - Failure to apply brakes earlier to stop the vehicle without rear-ending the Plaintiff's vehicle;
 - j. Being inattentive to his/her duties as an operator of a motor vehicle;
 - k. Disregarding traffic lanes, patterns, and other devices;
 - 1. Driving at a high rate of speed which was high and dangerous for conditions;
 - m. Failing to remain continually alert while operating said vehicle;

- r. Failing to perceive the highly apparent danger to others which the actions and/or inactions posed;
- o. Failing to give Plaintiffs meaningful warning signs concerning the impending collision;
- p. Failing to exercise ordinary care to avoid a rear-end collision;
- q. Failing to be highly vigilant and maintain sufficient control of said vehicle and to bring it to a stop on the shortest possible notice;
- r. Operating said vehicle with disregard for the rights of Plaintiff, even though he/she was aware or should have been aware of the presence of Plaintiff and the threat of harm posed to him/her;
- s. Continuing to operate the vehicle in a direction towards the Plaintiff's vehicle when he/she saw, or in the exercise of reasonable diligence, should have seen, that further operation in that direction would result in a collision;
- t. Failing to operate said vehicle in compliance with the applicable laws and ordinances of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, pertaining to the operation and control of motor vehicles; and
- u. Being otherwise careless and/or negligent under the circumstances.
- 46. As a direct and consequential result of the negligent, and/or careless conduct of the Defendant, described above, the Plaintiff suffered various serious and permanent personal injuries, serious impairment of bodily function and/or permanent serious disfigurement and/or aggravation of pre-existing conditions, including to the back, neck, middle of back and left side of back, all to Plaintiff's great loss and detriment.

47. As a result of these injuries, all of which are permanent in nature and all of which are to Plaintiff's great financial detriment and loss, Plaintiff has in the past, is presently and may in the future suffer great anguish, sickness and agony and will continue to suffer for an indefinite time into the future.

- 48. As an additional result of the carelessness, and/or negligence of Defendant, Plaintiff has suffered emotional injuries, along with the physical injuries suffered.
- 49. As a further result of Plaintiff's injuries, he/she has in the past, is presently and may in the future undergo a great loss of earnings and/or earning capacity, all to Plaintiff's further loss and detriment.
- 50. As a direct result of the negligent, and/or careless conduct of the Defendant, plaintiff suffered damage to his personal property, including his/her motor vehicle, which Plaintiff was operating at the time of the aforesaid motor vehicle collision; including but not limited to, storage fees and towing, all to Plaintiff's great loss and detriment.
- 51. Furthermore, in addition to all the injuries and losses suffered by Plaintiff, Plaintiff has also incurred or will incur medical, rehabilitative and other related expenses in an amount equal to and/or in excess of the basic personal injury protection benefits required by the Pennsylvania Vehicle Financial Responsibility Law, 75 Pa.C.S. Section 1701, et. Seq., as amended, for which he/she makes a claim for payment in the present action.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Ray Newman, prays for judgment in Plaintiffs' favor and against Defendant, R&H Trucking, LLC, in an amount in excess of Seventy-Five Thousand (\$75,000.00) Dollars, plus all costs and other relief this court deems necessary.

SIMON & SIMON, P.C.

Warc Simon

Marc Simon, Esquire